

From: Chris Johnson <cnjohn@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: WTC Web Site Question : General Public
To: wtc@nist.gov

I have a question for John Gross. He says in a video that there was no evidence of molten metal under the twin towers etc. This is simply untrue, there is substantial (overwhelming) evidence that this is the case.

How could a temperature 1000 deg. F more than the aviation fuel could possibly generate under controlled conditions, let alone black, oxygen-poor fires like the slow-burning ones in the twin towers?

I am EXTREMELY skeptical of the official story and will continue to be until I see an unbiased study by reputable scientists that demonstrates (and not by black-box computer models that are selected only because they show what the committee/NIST wants to prove) what happened that day. Even now, what happened on 9/11 is unique, with buildings becoming POWDERED, molten metal pools in the basements, very rapid collapse but no debris to speak of, virtually all the steel removed from public and professional scrutiny (illegal: it was evidence at a federal crime site).

Even now there is no real explanation. NIST says, "SOMETHING" happened, this set the scene where collapse ensued automatically.

If there were a few unexplained things in a complex event, that's expected. When there are MANY HUNDREDS of VERY troubling points occurring in a complex event, with overwhelming evidence of a biased investigation, that's another matter.

The Bush administration keeps using 9/11 as the U.S.'s justification for illegal wars. Iraq had NOTHING to do with 9/11 or bin Laden (he did not confess -the 'confession' videos were of another person).

Let's have a unbiased 9/11 investigation. We need one and far over half of the US public believe it's an inside job and/or coverup.

And how does NIST explain the new digital dump of flight 77's black box, which shows the plane's trajectory was absolutely inconsistent with the

destroyed light poles, etc?

With the molten metal, we see NIST's representative showing a hostile, administration-sided attitude. And his ignorance is astounding: he's either totally uninformed or lying or unqualified. Let's see NIST's response to this. Where did the energy come from to create this molten metal that DID exist in all 3 collapsed buildings?

Sincerely,

Chris Johnson